UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,828	11/25/2003	Koichiro Sugai	81716.0114	4773
26021 7590 04/30/2008 HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			EXAMINER	
			LEE, CYNTHIA K	
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,828	SUGAI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CYNTHIA LEE	1795
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,10 and 11 is/are pending in the appears  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,10 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition of the accomposition of the specific properties of the spec	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/11/2008 has been entered.

# Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 4/14/2008. Claims 1, 10 and 11 are pending. Claim 1 has been amended.

The 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 1, 10, and 11 are rejected for reasons stated herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshioka (US 2003/0012999) in view of Haluzak (US 7018734), Bronoel (2001/0006745), and Nishida (US 5686197).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,828

Art Unit: 1795

Yoshioka discloses a fuel cell casing comprising: a base body having a concavity for housing a membrane electrode assembly formed on one surface thereof (15 in Fig. 1), the membrane electrode assembly having a first electrode and a second electrode disposed on one principal surface and another principal surface thereof, respectively; a first fluid channel formed so as to extend from a bottom surface of the concavity facing the one principal surface of the membrane electrode assembly to an outer surface of the base body (41 in Fig. 1); a first wiring conductor having its one end disposed on the bottom surface of the concavity facing the first electrode of the membrane electrode assembly (17 in Fig. 1), and its other end led out toward the outer surface of the base body; a lid body mounted on the one surface of the base body near the concavity so as to cover the concavity (14 in fig. 1), for air-tightly sealing the concavity; a second fluid channel formed so as to extend from one surface of the lid body facing the other principal surface of the membrane electrode assembly to an outer surface of the lid body; and a second wiring conductor having its one end disposed on the one surface of the lid body facing the second electrode of the membrane electrode assembly, and its other end led out toward the outer surface of the lid body (16 in fig. 1). The two adjacent cells are connected by individual current collectors connected by a connection groove 47 (applicant's third wiring conductor) See Fig. 1 and [0081].

Page 3

Yoshioka does not disclose that the base body is made of ceramics. Yoshioka discloses that the base body is made of metal, resin, or composites [0087]. However, Haluzak teaches a fluid passage substrate can be made of multi-layer ceramics (7:8-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Page 4

invention was made to substitute Yoshioka's base body made of metal, resin, or composites with Haluzak's multi-layer ceramic fluid distribution substrate because the casing of Yoshioka and the substrate of Haluzak are both fluid distribution substrates and it has been held by the court that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is *prima facie* obvious. Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). Se MPEP 2144.07.

Yoshioka modified by Haluzak teaches a set of current collectors on the base body and the lid, but does not teach that the collectors are led to the outer surface of the base body and the lid (or an internal circuit). However, Bronoel teaches a bipolar collector for a solid polymer electrolyte fuel cell whereof the electronic conduction is provided by uniformly distributed metal cylinders and hereof the tips penetrate into the electrodes. See Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute Yoshioka's current collector plates with Bronoel's metal cylinders for current collection for the benefit of being able to connect the fuel cell with an external power device.

The Examiner notes that all materials possess resistance to some degree. Thus, the internal circuit of Yoshioka modified by Haluzak and Bronoel inherently forms an internal circuit including a resistor.

Yoshioka modified by Haluzak and Bronoel does not teach that the third wiring conductor formed in the base body is on a bottom surface of one concavity and another concavity (applicant's claim 1). However, Nishida teaches of establishing electrical connection of multiple cells using conductive wires (see 61a, 61b, 61c, 61d, 61x, 61y in

Application/Control Number: 10/721,828 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to electrically connect the power generating elements 11 and 12 of Yoshioka using conductive wires for the benefit of connecting the power generating elements to produce power. It is noted that modifying Yoshioka modified by Nishioka with Bronoel would form a third wiring conductor on a bottom surface of one cavity and another cavity because the power generating elements 11 and 12 are located on the bottom of their respective cavities.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshioka (US 2003/0012999) in view of Haluzak (US 7018734), Bronoel (2001/0006745), and Nishida (US 5686197) as applied to claim 1, further in view of Bostaph (US 2003/0031908).

Yoshioka modified by Haluzak, Bronoel, and Nishida teaches all the elements of claim 1 and are incorporated herein. Yoshioka modified by Haluzak, Bronoel, and Nishida does not teach a piezoelectric pump disposed partway along the first or second fluid channels. However, Bostaph teaches of using a piezoelectric pump to supply ambient air to a flow field [0022]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a piezoelectric pump to the fuel cell of Yoshioka modified by Haluzak, Bronoel, and Nishida for the benefit of exerting force to provided adequate air from the ambient to the fuel cell.

### Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1795

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/1/2008 have been considered but are prior art has been found to still read on the amended claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,828 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

/PATRICK RYAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795